	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/601,649	BELL, LAWRENCE L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SETH WEIS	3695
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>NON-FINAL AFTER RCE</u>		
(1) <u>SETH WEIS</u> .	(3) <u>LAWRENCE EISEN</u> .	
(2) <u>STEFANOS KARMIS</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 March 2010	Time: <u>4:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 AND 6		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Discussed possible amendments to the claims in order to move to inventor and submit proposed amendments by email.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
$(A_{\underline{\cdot}})$	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)